

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,834	WORRALL, ERIC EDWARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Bret E. Field.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

3, 20, 25, 28, 29, 38, 39.

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the application would be allowable if the following amendments were made to the claims: Claim 20 should be amended to read on - - a coacervate- - rather than on "an absorption complex." The duplicate claim 39 should be removed from the claim set. Claims 3, 25, 28, 29, 38, and 39 should be amended to insert a comma between the last and next-to-last members of the lists in these claims. It was agreed that the amendments would be made by examiner's amendment.